

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/862,803	05/22/2001	Bernhard Kern	1625	1185	
7590 09/07/2006 STRIKER, STRIKER & STENBY			EXAMINER		
			LIN, KUANG Y		
103 East Neck I Huntington, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<b>3</b> ,		·	1725		
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006	DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/	
4	
<u>u</u>	

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/862,803	KERN, BERNHARD	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kuang Y. Lin	1725	

D.C. (1 511 C. A. 1 D.C.								
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
•	Kuang Y. Lin	1725						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 18 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no							
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).  MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 15 July 2006. A brief in	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any					
date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismis	ssal of the					
appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl AMENDMENTS	y must be filed within the time peri	od set forth in 37 CFF	R 41.37(a).					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected ciaims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	• ••	omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		Impliant Americanient	(1 10L-324).					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendm	ent canceling					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-7.	will not be entered, or b)      wided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary					
<ul> <li>9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio</li> </ul>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see item 3(a) and 3(b) supra.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	V6(s)	<b>&gt;</b>					
	9-	Kuang Y. Lin Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1725						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendment to claim 1 raises new issues and the issue of new matter.